1941

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Madras

Mis. **No.** 2698

Dated 2-10-41

T. 1280141

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.

Assessment — Land — (January)
respect and Hadagalli Taluks — Villages
Marked ... Investigation ...
Reviewed (YEDY's letter

C.F. 32Y

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Received ..... *Registered* .....

From (Name) A.D.Crombie Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address .....



To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Ref.C. *No.* 24/41 A-3, *dated* 3-7- 1941.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES .....

SPARE COPIES .....

House sites - Bellary district - Hospet taluk - Narayanadevarakeri village - assignment of sites under B.S.O. 21 - Orders requested.

Ref: B.P. Rout. 4240 dated 29-8-1940.

The Sub Collector, Hospet recommends the assignment of a site in Narayanadevarakeri village of Hospet taluk to one Venkobanna under B.S.O. 21. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation, reported to Government in his C. No. 1969/40 C-1 dated 15-6-1940, that a list of villages falling within or on the 1620 contour of the Tungabhadra project reservoir, will be prepared and submitted for the issue of the notification under Section 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Act for acquiring the lands for the Tungabhadra project reservoir. In accordance with the instructions of the Chief Engineer, the Executive Engineer, Tungabhadra project, prepared a list of villages falling within or on 1620 contour for the water spread of the reservoir. A copy of the list, is enclosed. As per the list, lands in a portion of Narayanadevarakeri village, are proposed for acquisition. But in Board's Ref. H. 2096/40-5 dated 10-3-1941, the following villages falling within or on 1620 contour of the proposed reservoir have been eliminated from the list of project affected villages and assignment has been allowed.

Narayanadevarakeri

Narayanadevarakeri

Aniveri

Anipinakatti

Aralahalli

Basapuram

Danapuram

Venkatapuram

Vyasanakeri

Gowripuram

I request to be informed whether assignments in these villages can be made under B.S.Os. 15 and 21.

Asstt Commr
4.7.91

R.S. 4/7. For Collector.

Encl-to C.M/M.A3 3

List of villages falling within and on 1620 contour for the water-spread of the proposed Tungabhadra project Reservoir.

S.No.	Name of village & village number.	Whether acquisition required in full or part.
	Hospet taluk.	
1.	Hosakota (102) uninhabited	part
2.	Malapuram (I) 101	part
3.	Jambunachanahalli (96)	part
4.	Vyasanakere (99)	part
5.	Dhanapuram (114)	part
6.	Gauripuram (s)(100)	full
7.	Anipinakatta (111)	full
8.	Venkatapuram (112)	part
9.	Basapuram (105)	full
10.	Aralehalli (104)	full
11.	Tarahalli (J) 106	full
12.	Narayanadevarakeri (110	part
13.	Mudlapuram (J) 109	part
14.	Kuradagaddi (103)	full
15.	Anaveri (107)	full
16.	Jodithimmalapuram (S) uninhabited (108)	full
	Hadagalli taluk.	
1.	Varadap ram (4)	part
2.	Benhakapuram (k) (1)	full
3.	Bellahunsi (2)	part
4.	Marabbihalu (3)	part
5.	Anandevanahalli (18)	part
6.	Ankasamudram (17)	part
7.	Bachigondanahalli (16)	part
8.	Tambrahalli (19)	part
9.	Kittanuru (20)	part
10.	Muttukuru (21)	part
11.	Hameswarabandi (22)	part
12.	Siginahalli (23)	part
13.	Bannigola (24)	part
14.	Basarakodu (25)	part
15.	Enigi (26)	part
16.	Hampasagaram (29)	part
17.	Kalvi (30)	part
18.	Hakandi (52)	part
19.	Govinahalli (53)	part
20.	Kombali (54)	part
	36 villages of Madras. Presidency.	
	Bombay Presidency.	
1.	Konalhalli	
2.	Hati	
3.	Kakur	
4.	Hegrur	
	4 villages of Bombay	

Abdul Khan
4/41
For Collector.

H3 1287 S/H please 10 2877

C.F. 32.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Received _____ *Registered* _____

From (Name) A.D.Crombie, Esquire, C.I.E., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector,

Post Box ____, (STATION) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address _____



To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Ref. C. *No.* 3264/41-A3, *dated* 26-7-41 19

Sir,

Assignment-Land-Hospet and Hadagali taluks-Villages affected by the Tungabhadra Project reservoir-Orders-requested.

ENCLOSURES _____

SPARE COPIES _____

P29 of (T) -

Board's H.2096/40-5 dated 10-3-41 and 2096/40-6 dated 29-3-41. P41 of (T)

I invite your attention to my report C.No.24/41 A3 dated 3-7-41. In addition to the ~~kkkk~~ nine villages of Hospet taluk referred to in my reference noted above, the following villages falling within or ~~one~~ on 1620 contour for the waterspread of the proposed Tungabhadra Project reservoir, which find place in the list of project affected villages communicated with G.O.No.763 Revenue dated 9-4-37 have been eliminated from the list of project affected villages, communicated with Board's H.2096/40-6 dated 29-3-41

P1-2 of P2 of

(B) P33-34 of (T) P41 of (T)

Hospet Taluk:

Mudlapuram
Varudapuram
~~Bellakunti~~ Bellahunsi — Hadagalli Tk
Marabbinal — Harapanahalli Tk
Anandevanahalli. — Harapanahalli Tk

Hadagali Taluk.

Ankasamudram
Tambranalli.
Kittanur.
Mutnukuru
Rameswarabandi.
Siginanalli
Bannigola.
Basarakodu
Enigi.
Hampasagaram
Kalivi
Hakandi
Sovenanalli
~~Sovenanalli~~
Kambali
Benchigoudanahalli.

Janubunathananalli, Tarenalli, Kuradagaddi, Jodi Timalapuram and Benkkapuram or Hospet taluk which are also within the water spread of the reservoir, do not find place in the list communicated with Board's H.2096/40-6 dated 29-3-41. I request to be informed whether assignments in all the villages mentioned above, can be made under B.S.Os 15 and 21.

P33-39 ✓ P41 ✓

Mukund Khan
6.7.41

AK/26.7

26.7.41

For Collector.

(H.1280/41)

7

g.WP

Assignment land (Bellary)
Hospet & Hadagalli Taluks
Villages affected by the
Tungabhadra Project -

DEPT
6 AUG 1941

From the collector of
Bellary C.No.4-41-A3 d) 3 7/41
+ C.3264-41-A3 d) 26 7/41 — A

P 1-3 + 5 bf

Ref. H.1280-41-1 d) 2-8-41

Referred to the C.E.(J)
for favour of early remarks
(B) 2.8.41

The C.E.(J)

9 H3

C.F. 32.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE MADRAS
Received 15 SEP 1941 H 1838

Registered

From (Name) Sri Rao Bahadur L. Venkatakrishna Ayyar, B.A., B.E.,
(Designation) Chief Engineer for Irrigation,
Post Box ____, (Station) Chepauk, Madras.

Telegraphic Address ____

To

The Joint Secretary,
Board of Revenue.

No. 3151/41 C.E.P., *dated* 12th September 1941.

Sir,

Sub:- Assignment - Land - Bellary district - Hospet and Hadagalli taluks - Villages affected by the Tungabhadra Project.

Ref:- Board's Refce.No.H.1280/41-1 dated 2-8-41.

Enclosures ____

Spare Copies ____

p 7 cj

The Collector of Bellary, requests to be informed whether assignment of lands can be made in the 14 villages in Hospet Taluk and 15 villages in Hadagalli taluk mentioned by him. These villages (except 3 villages) do not of course find place in the revised list of villages through which the main canal and its branches will pass, (sent with my No.3117/40-C-4 dated 14-3-41) and assignment in which is pronibited in Government (Revenue Department) Memorandum No.234 F/41 dated 28-4-41. This list refers to the canal portion and was prepared for purposes of prohibition of assignment pending afforestation proposals. But the 29 villages referred to by the Collector lie within or on the 1620 contour of Tungabhadra Reservoir. Plea see list of such villages sent with my No.1969/40-C-8 dated 28-10-40. Assignment of lands in these villages also should not therefore be allowed.

p 31 cf (T)

p 47 cf (T)

The Collector says that these 29 villages were eliminated in Board's Nos. 2096/40-5 dated 10-3-41 and 2096/40-6 dated 29-3-41. Referring to the 2 tentative lists, sent with my Nos. 3117/40-C-2 dated 21-11-40 and 3117/40-C-4 dated 14-3-41 and seeing that most of the 29 villages have not been included in them, he has probably assumed that assignment is permissible in these villages. The villages in the lists forwarded with my letters come under the canal portion while the 29 villages mentioned by the Collector lie within the waterspread of the reservoir. It has not been stated nor is it the intention that all the other villages in the list of project affected villages printed in G.O. No.763 Revenue dated 9-4-37 can be eliminated from the G.O. It may therefore be made clear to the Collectors that the lists of villages printed in the above G.O. still hold good and that assignment of land in these villages except those where assignment is totally prohibited by Government will be governed by the

p 29 cf (T)

p. 41 cf (T)

pp 5-6 cf of (T)

pp 31-32 cf of (T)

(B)

conditions prescribed for such assignment.

12.9.41

for Chief Engineer for Irrigation

mn/12-9.

13/9

(GWP)

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	2/10	Mo
When copied ..	3/10	[illegible]
When examined..	8.10.41	gm/A.C.M.
When signed ..		
When despatched.		[illegible]

SUBJECT:— Assignment - Land - (Bellary Hospet and Hadagalli Tks — Villages affected by Tungabhadra project — Prohibited — CE(I) letter — Communicated to Collr

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____

" " _____ " _____ 19____

READ *ALSO*:—

pp 1-2 c/H. No. 1280 From the Collr Bellary Refc No. 24/41/A3 dated 3.7. 19 41 Q

pp 5-6 c/H. " 1487 " " " " Rev.C Nr 3264/41 A3 & 26.7.41 19 " Q'

pp 9-10 c/H. " 1838 " CE(I) Nr 3151/41 C.E.P. & 12.9. 19 41 Q

~~Order~~ (Ms.) ~~Resolution Rent.~~ No. 2698, dated 2.10. 19 41.

pp 9-10 c/f

pp 1-2 & 5-6 c/f

Issue Ms (T) p. 47 c/f (T)

p 49 c/f (T)

To the Collr of Bellary (with a copy of the CE(I)'s letter.)

(pp 9-10 c/f to be copied & sent)

A copy of the C.E.(I) letter Nr. 3151/41 C.E. 2 & 12.9.41 is communicated to the Collr of Bellary with refee to his two letters read above

2 The Collrs of Anantapur and Kurnool are informed that the orders in the Govt Memo Nr 234 F/41-1 Rev. & 28.4.41 Communicated with BP Nr 1225 Mis. & 7.5.41 were passed in connection with the prohibition of assignments in ~~projected~~ villages pending investigatio

Ms 22.9.41 & 2.9.41

of proposals for the afforestation of land in the districts and that the orders in G.O No 763 Rev, d 9.4.37 still hold good in respect of assignment of land in the village affected by the Irrigation projects

RB
22.1f

JW
1.4.41

D
5941 b
25-941

To the Collr of Bellary (with a copy of the C.E.(I)'s letter) (para 1 only)

... the Collrs of Anantapur and Kurnool (para 2 only).

~~I.S~~
A.S.(I.R)

Assignment - land - (Bellary) - Hospet Tk - Narayanadevarakeri and six other Vgs - Permission

pp.1-2 cf

H 1280 - From the Collr. of Bellary Rc: G. 2A/41 - A3 dy 3.7.1941

To A.S (I.R)

Please see the letter from the Collr of Bellary at pp.1-2 cf.

2/. The nine Vgs mentioned at p2 c were notified as likely to be affected by the Tungabhadra Project in Lr.G. No.763 Rev dy 9-4-1937. In Lr.G. No. 2204 Alls Rev dy 12-9-40, the Govt ordered that pending the investigation of afforestation proposals in the Anantapur and Bellary dts, the assignments of land in the Vgs through which the main canals and distributaries of the proposed Tungabhadra Project are likely to pass will be prohibited. The C.E.(I) was asked to submit a list of such Vgs.

p 3 (B)
p 1 cf (T)
p 1 cf (T)
p 5 cf (T)

In his letter dated 21-11-40, the C.E.(I) informs the BG that a tentative list of Vgs where assignments have to be prohibited could be prepared by eliminating certain Vgs from the relevant list in Lr.G. No.763 Rev dy 9-4-1937.

(B)

A list of Vgos that could be eliminated was pp 9-10 of (B)
This list was communicated to the Colls. of Bellary. - p 29 of (T)
also furnished. / In the remaining Vgos in
Lr. No. 763 Rev of 9-A-37, assignments has (B)
to be prohibited. The nine Vgos mentioned
in the Coll's letter were amongst the Vgos p 2 of
proposed for elimination by the Cr.(1) i.e
assignments were not prohibited in them
Vgos. The Coll's enquired whether assignments p 2 of
could be made in these Vgos under B.S. 68 & 75 pp 37 & 76 BSR 68
and 21.

3/. In his letter of 14-3-1941, the p 31 of (T)
Cr.(1) furnished a fresh list / to be made
of Vgos affected by the Tungabhadra Project
use for purpose of prohibition i.e. in these
Vgos mentioned in the list assignments has
to be prohibited. This list was also communicated p 41 of (T)
to the Colls. of Bellary. Of the nine Vgos
mentioned in the Coll's letter * three included * Avalahalli
marked × at p 31 of (T) Danapuram
in this second list. Assignments have Venkatapura
thus now to be prohibited in these Vgos with
reference to Lr. No. 2204 Rev of 12-9-40. p 1 of (T)
In respect of the remaining six Vgos, it
does not appear to have been the intention
of the Govt. to allow assignments unconditionally.
If that has been their intention they would
have ordered for the elimination from the list
in Lr. No. 763 Rev of 9-A-37 of the Vgos other (B)
than those mentioned in the list (x) furnished (x) pp 38 - 39
by the Cr. (1) with his letter of 14-3-1941. (T)

Presumably therefore the intention of the Govt is that in the remaining Vgns assignments have to be made under project conditions. The Coll: may be informed that with reference to G.O. No. 2204 dtd Rev dy 12.9.40, assignments are prohibited in the Vgns of Avalahalli, Damanpuram and Venkatapuram and that in respect of the remaining six Vgns, assignments may be made under project conditions.

plog (T)

Vide pp 2 ante.

For orders

Before issuing orders in the matter, the Remarks of the C.E(2) may be obtained on the Collector's letter.

Yr mem 31/7/41

16-7-41

Hs Memodft 16/7/41

W 1487 From the Collector of Tadpatry

P5-6 c/f

Refce C.3264.41-A3-0/26 7/41

To A.S(RL)

The remarks of the C.E(2) may be obtained on the Collector's above letter also.

Draft submitted

(B) 2.8.41

2-8-41

28/7/41

Ref. No. H. 1280/41 - 19. 2-8-41. pp 7 cf
H. No. 1838 - from the CE (I). pp 9-10 cf

AS(LR)

To Joint Secy.

The Collector's letters at pp 1-2 and pp 5-6 cf. and the CE(I)'s letter at pp 9-10 cf are for perusal

From para 1 of the CE(I)'s letter p 9 cf
it will be seen that the lists ~~communi-~~ ~~cate~~ received from the CE(I) and communicated to the Coll^r of Bellary ~~relate to~~ were prepared in connection with the investigation of proposals for the afforestation of lands in Anantapur and Bellary districts and that all the villages now referred to by the Coll^r lie within or on the 1620 Contour of the Tungabhadra reservoir and that as such assignment of lands in any of these villages should not be allowed. The second paragraph of the p 9 cf
CE(I)'s letter makes the intention quite clear. There seems to be no need to address the Govt in the matter. A copy of the CE(I)'s letter may be communicated to the Coll^r in the reference to his two letters

Draft submd for approval.

Is it not necessary to make See last para from H.S.-in
22/8 22.8.41 the position clear to any other Collr?
19
24-8-41

Ref: A.S.(L-R)'s query at p.4. n/f.

o A.S.(L-R).

Resubmitted.

It does not seem necessary to inform any other cdtr. However as observed by A.S. a paragraph has since been added to the draft ~~to~~ ~~there is no~~ to make the position clear the draft below is for approval.

ST
22.5.

~~25/4~~ 25/4/41

B.P. No. 2698 Mis
Dated 2-10-44

Single Number
~~Two Members~~
~~Full Board~~

	I.A.	A.D.S & L.R.	Assistant Secretary L.R.	Irrign.	Sep. Rev.	J.S.	Secy.	M 3	M 2	M 1
1. Open file seen by			✓	✓		✓				
2. Final draft seen by			✓			✓				
3. Now circulated to										
4. Initials										

~~Secretary~~
Joint Secretary.

Passed for record

A.S. (L.R.)
~~A.S. (Irrign)~~
~~A.S. (Sep.Rev.)~~
~~A.D.S.& L.R.~~
~~I.A.~~

Note:- Entries not required to be scored out.

Dtd 6/xii